



NOTTINGHAM CRIME & DRUGS PARTNERSHIP BOARD MEETING

MONDAY 9TH DECEMBER 2019, 2-5 PM

ROOM 208, BYRON HOUSE

Chair:	Councillor David Mellen, Leader of Nottingham City Council
Attendees:	
CP	Craig Parkin (Vice Chair), Deputy Chief Fire Officer, Nottinghamshire Fire and Rescue Service
BB	Bob Bearne, Assistant Chief Executive, DLNR Community Rehabilitation Company
PB	Phil Broxholme, Performance & Intelligence Manager, Nottingham Crime & Drugs Partnership
AV	Andy Vaughan, Corporate Director of Commercial and Operations, Nottingham City Council
SC	Steve Cooper, Assistant Chief Constable, Nottinghamshire Police
AC	Alison Challenger, Director of Public Health, Nottingham City Council
DW	David Wakelin, Director, Nottingham & Nottinghamshire Violence Reduction Unit
PG	Phil Gilbert, Head of Strategy & Assurance, Office of the Police & Crime Commissioner
AE	Andrew Errington, Director of Community Protection, Nottingham City Council
NM	Nick Murphy, Chief Executive, Nottingham City Homes
NE	Nina Ennis, Greater Nottingham Clinical Commissioning Group
TS	Tim Spink, Head of Service, Nottingham Crime & Drugs Partnership
ACC	Alex Castle-Clarke, Senior Community Safety Manager, Nottingham City Council
NH	Nigel Hill, Director, Head of Nottinghamshire, National Probation Service
MH	Mat Healey, Area Commander, Nottinghamshire Police (Supt.)
LA	Councillor Leslie Ayoola, Nottingham City Council
Contributors:	
ZA	Zaimal Azad, Hate Crime Project Officer, Nottingham City Council
CO	Christine Oliver, Head of Commissioning, Nottingham City Council
IB	Ian Bentley, Strategy and Commissioning Manager (Offender Management)
PGr	Philip Gretton, Strategic Finance Business Partner, Nottingham City Council
MD	Mark Dalton, Independent Domestic Homicide Review Chair and Report Author
Minutes:	
LP	Laura Patterson, Policy Officer, Nottingham Crime & Drugs Partnership

Apologies:	
PT	Paddy Tipping, Nottinghamshire Police and Crime Commissioner

1.0 Introductions, Apologies and Declarations of Interest

1.1 Introductions were given and apologies were noted. No interests were declared. The Chair welcomed new Board members Andy Vaughan, who has replaced Candida Brudenell on the Board and Nina Ennis, the new CCG representative.

2.0 Previous Minutes of the Board from 7th October 2019

2.1 The minutes of the CDP Board meeting held on 7th October 2019 were accepted as a true and accurate reflection of the meeting.

3.0 Matters Arising

3.1 There were no outstanding matters arising that were not accommodated in the agenda.

4.0 Board Development Session

4.1 The Chair advised that the Board Development session which he had requested had been cancelled due to the number of apologies received. He asked if Board members still wanted the session. It was agreed that the session would be useful.

Action: CDP Support Team to re-schedule the half-day Board Development session for end February/start of March 2020.

5.0 Overview Report from the Executive Group

5.1 SC introduced the connecting report from the Partnership Executive Group outlining the work being managed by that group on behalf of the Board. An update was provided on Partnership Tasking, which now represents a much improved and stable process.

5.2 SC updated that the OPCC-funded problem solving training was launched with an event at the Indian Community Centre, with the training to take place in January and February 2020. SC also advised that a compelling case for the IOM IDVA pilot had been made at the Executive Group and that the evidence of its success had been presented.

5.3 SC advised that the Safer Streets funding had been put on hold pending the election. PG advised that an event around the funding is planned for January and that the timescale for applications is likely to be short, with approximately six months to spend the money. PB advised that his team have identified the most needy neighbourhood hotspot. SC advised that the funding is focused on acquisitive crime and that insecurity

is the main reason for burglary in the area, using Professor Tseloni's study as an evidence base.

- 5.4 An update was also provided on the partnership's annual Information Sharing Agreement Audit, which has now been completed, with everything found to be in order.
- 5.5 AE updated on the establishment of a working group of NCC colleagues (the Arboretum Improvement Discussion Group) to help identify the environmental issues in the Hyson Green area and to establish how these can be improved by Council services. The area to be covered was discussed, with the proposal to start from Hyson Green crossroads and to work outwards.
- 5.6 NM advised that NCH are about to start the next phase of work in the Arboretum, with the purchase of 90 flats, in a £15 million regeneration programme. There was a discussion around whether it was better to have a multi-agency approach, including the police, rather than just a council group and AE advised that the group would be looking at things the council can control, such as bins, litter and waste collection. AE also confirmed that Cllr Langton will co-ordinate councillor involvement in the group. The Chair requested that an area be clearly defined.

Action: Update on the Arboretum Improvement Discussion Group to be provided to the Executive Group meeting in February 2020.

- 5.7 NE raised a question around health involvement in the Complex Person's Panel and was advised health were well plugged in to the refreshed panel. NE agreed to send details of new posts which could be relevant to the panel to SC.

THE BOARD NOTED THE CONTENTS OF THE REPORT.

6.0 Performance Report against CDP Partnership Plan

- 6.1 PB presented the Partnership Performance report detailing the current position against the agreed measures for the priorities within the Partnership Plan. The presentation highlighted that victim-based crime has continued to fall since the new baseline was set.
- 6.2 Most other types of crime are falling or stable, with the exception of night time economy violence and other crimes against society, which includes possession of weapons and drugs. There was a discussion about whether possession of weapon offences have risen because there are more people carrying knives or whether it is because there is better detection. PB advised that knife crime has been reducing for almost a year now, mostly due to reductions in robbery, as violent assaults involving a knife are broadly stable.

Action: PB to include data in the March 2020 performance report on the number of detected knife crime perpetrators who are under 18 and whether this has increased. Report also to include comparison on knife crime with other core city forces.

- 6.3 PB highlighted that meeting the target to reduce ASB by 25% would be difficult. ASB is currently broadly stable, though the number of noise ASB incidents reported has decreased.

Deep Dive into Hate Crime

- 6.4 PB updated Board members on the findings of the deep dive into hate crime. The volume of hate incidents has been broadly stable since mid-2017, following a sharp increase after the 2016 EU referendum.
- 6.5 Hate motivated by race made up 73% of all hate occurrences in the past twelve months. Hate motivated by a person's sexual orientation made up the second largest proportion with 12%, followed by religion/faith with 8%. Public order offences account for around 40% of hate crime, with violence against the person making up another 20%. Around 30% of occurrences are 'non-crimes', incidents that do not meet the threshold for a crime. The city centre experiences the highest proportion of hate occurrences, around a fifth, which is to be expected given the high footfall. Outside of the city centre, Hyson Green and Arboretum ward has the highest number of recorded occurrences.
- 6.6 The partnership target is to reduce the number of repeat victims of hate crime. This is hard to achieve as around a third of repeat victims reported that the perpetrator was a stranger to them. Another third identified a neighbour as the offender. Underreporting remains an issue within particular groups and communities, such as taxi drivers, fast food workers and transgender citizens.
- 6.7 Substance misuse performance has been broadly stable for a number of months. The successful completion rate for community treatment is good but performance has fallen in the criminal justice treatment service.

THE BOARD NOTED THE CONTENTS OF THE REPORT.

7.0 Strategic Assessment 2019

- 7.1 PB advised the Board that the Strategic Assessment is complete and has been circulated. He also thanked all those who had contributed. Six priorities have been chosen, all of which have an equal weighting: Weapon Enabled Offending, Modern Slavery & Exploitation, Domestic & Sexual Violence, Drugs & Alcohol (including supply & possession), Hate Crime, Burglary.
- 7.2 Burglary has been included, as the Respect Survey showed that citizens are overwhelmingly concerned about this, particularly in certain areas and communities. A question was raised around whether ASB should be included as a priority but it was felt that this issue was well mitigated through the ward based Respect Plans. Furthermore, it was noted that the partnership has a statutory duty in respect of ASB, as outlined in the relevant legislation.

- 7.3 There was a discussion around what effect being one of these priorities has and it was suggested that this could be discussed at the Board Development session, which could look at how agencies can operationalise the priorities together and join up working. The Chair encouraged all agencies to consider what they are doing on each of these priorities.

BOARD MEMBERS RECEIVED THE ASSESSMENT AND REPORT, DISCUSSED THE RECOMMENDED PRIORITIES AND ACCEPTED THE PRIORITIES AS PRESENTED.

BOARD MEMBERS AGREED TO RECEIVE A PROPOSAL IN RESPECT OF REVISED PARTNERSHIP GOVERNANCE ARRANGEMENTS AS PART OF THE PROCESS OF FORMULATING THE PARTNERSHIP PLAN FOR 2020/21.

Action: ACC/PB to draft proposals around the future structure of the CDP, with details of how this would be aligned in order to deliver the priorities in the Partnership Plan 2020-23. Proposals to be brought to the CDP Board meeting in March 2020.

8.0 Neighbourhood Policing Update

- 8.1 MH updated CDP Board members on changes in the way Nottinghamshire Police will identify local policing priorities, in response to College of Policing Neighbourhood Policing Guidelines. The priorities will be informed by the OPCC quarterly survey and by the Respect Action Plans, which the force remains committed to. It is anticipated that the revised local police priority setting process will enhance and strengthen the Respect Action Plans.

BOARD MEMBERS NOTED THE CONTENTS OF THIS REPORT AND SUPPORTED THE IDENTIFICATION OF POLICING PRIORITIES THROUGH (OR WITH MINOR REVISIONS TO) EXISTING PARTNERSHIP MEETING ARRANGEMENTS.

9.0 Violence Reduction Unit

- 9.1 DW thanked NCC for hosting the VRU and for their support. He presented on the work of the VRU to date. The VRU has been running for 13 weeks now and has been allocated £880k, all of which is now committed. 60% of the funding has been made available to projects, all of which have evaluation built into them. A Stakeholder Reference Group has been set up, which has pulled managers involved in knife crime together. £100k of the funding has been added to the PCC's £250k Community Safety Fund pot. A training programme has been set up and a Contextual Safeguarding session was run recently, with over 400 attendees, 180 of which were from the city.
- 9.2 DW outlined some of the other work being carried out. A charity has been commissioned to research the impact of social media. The Mentors in Violence programme is being piloted in a total of eight schools in the city and county. DW also outlined projects including the My Voice, Children Exposed to DV, Choices Project which are being funded and the Police Custody Diversion Programme which is out to

tender. NTU are evaluating the Breaking Barriers, Building Bridges programme. It was agreed the presentation slides would be circulated with the minutes of the meeting.

- 9.3 The VRU work priorities for December 2019 to March 2020 were outlined as: Strategic Needs Assessment & Response Plan, Community Fund and support for the third sector, Learning from Lived Experience, ETE Pathways Group, Community Panel and the 'Ambassador Role', Building alliances and 'spreading the word', Knowledge Transfer with NU / NTU, Planning for 2020 /21.
- 9.4 DW advised that funding is only going to established charities and that organisations are being visited to ensure accountability of spending. Smaller organisations are being encouraged to bid to the PCC Community Safety Fund. Projects need to be both innovative and safe. The Strategic Needs Assessment is being developed and the JSNA will be taken into account

10.0 Reducing Reoffending

Reducing Reoffending Governance Group

- 10.1 BB presented the report of the Reducing Reoffending Governance Group (RRGG), which details the matters that have been considered in that forum since the last meeting of the CDP Board. Violent and weapon enabled crime and the management of female offenders were discussed. The main item for discussion related to the RRGG's role in Governance of the Integrated Offender Management Scheme, in particular the role of IDVAs within IOM. BB stressed the importance of mainstreaming the IOM IDVA service, as there have been some real successes from it and requested the support of the Board with this. NH advised that the IDVAs input has been excellent. It was agreed that NM would be invited to the next meeting of the RRGG, as there may be ways he can offer support.
- 10.2 BB highlighted that the RRGG lacks structural and administrative support and that it needs to link in better to the Board's priorities and have a more structured way of reporting. There was a discussion around this and it was agreed that this will be incorporated into the proposal around future governance of the CDP, as detailed at 7.3 above.

THE BOARD NOTED THE CONTENTS OF THE REPORT

IOM Non Statutory Cohort – Knife Crime

- 10.3 BB also updated the Board regarding the IOM Non-Statutory Cohort. In order to increase the cohort, which is small (7 nominals assessed so far), a broader definition is being looked at, so that both pre-statutory and post-statutory nominals will be considered. The service has also been considering witnesses, associates and friends of those involved in violent crime. BB advised that they will aim to have a considerably larger cohort by the March 2020 Board meeting.

THE BOARD NOTED THE CONTENTS OF THE REPORT AND CONCLUDED THAT THE SERVICE SHOULD REDOUBLE ITS EFFORTS TO INCREASE THE SIZE OF THE COHORT.

11. Hate Crime

- 11.1 Zaimal Azad, Hate Crime Project Officer, attended the meeting to update on work on hate crime. With regards to repeat victims, a lot work has been carried out to find out what constitutes repeat victims and what around this can be influenced. NCH have a mediator for residents in their properties and ZA advised that buying in and trialling mediation where there are issues such as parking or noise is being explored.
- 11.2 A review of NHIPP has been carried out and the focus has shifted to repeat victims to try to identify what works. The panel looks at cases where two incidents have already happened but need to establish how to stop second incidents happening.
- 11.3 Many hate crime perpetrators in the city centre are unknown and some staff, such as door staff, police and council staff, can just view it as an “occupational hazard”. The BID is running a project on zero tolerance of hate crime, which includes offering free training sessions to night time economy venues, to support providers in recognising and responding to hate crime, with the aim of increasing reporting to the police and utilising exclusion notices for repeat offenders. A ‘No Place For Hate’ safety and inclusion charter has also been developed, promoting a zero tolerance approach to hate crime in Nottingham, which venues are being encouraged to sign up to.
- 11.4 ZA advised 200 hate crime champions have been identified and trained and that Nottingham Trent University are evaluating most of the EU funded work on hate crime, in order to show the impact.

Action: ZA to share mid-project evaluation of the EU funded hate crime project with Board members at the March meeting of the Board.

THE CDP BOARD AGREED THE PROPOSAL THAT MORE ACTIVITY SHOULD BE FOCUSED IN PRIORITY NEIGHBOURHOODS DURING HATE CRIME AWARENESS WEEK (HCAW) IN FUTURE YEARS AND THAT LOCAL COMMUNITIES SHOULD BE INVOLVED IN DEVELOPING IT DURING THE YEAR.

THE BOARD ALSO AGREED THE PROPOSAL THAT THE LEARNING FROM ACTIVITIES SHOULD BE CAPTURED IN A COMMUNITY CONVERSATIONS TOOLKIT.

12.0 Substance Misuse

- 12.1 IB introduced his paper and gave an update on various issues relating to substance misuse and provided answers around the performance of the criminal justice substance misuse service. The JSNA on adult substance misuse is being revised following feedback and the Substance Misuse Strategy is currently on hold due to a lack of staff time. An updated action log was also provided.

- 12.2 A task and finish group has been set up to consider how partners could reinforce the performance and delivery of the Criminal Justice Substance Misuse Service. There has been a reduction in criminal justice orders. There has been an increase in opiate users, with many coming out of prison still homeless. The criminal justice service has been recommissioned and new targets are to be agreed. However, the successful completion target is 20%.
- 12.5 Board members were asked whether they still wanted substance misuse on the agenda for every Board meeting and it was agreed that it should now be six monthly.

THE BOARD NOTED THE CONTENTS OF THE REPORT.

13.0 Domestic Homicide Reviews

Update on DHRs

- 13.1 ACC introduced the paper and gave an update on each of the following DHRs, HADE, CHAPEAU, LOAM and HANOVER.

CDP BOARD MEMBERS ACKNOWLEDGED THE FURTHER REASON FOR THE DELAY IN COMPLETING DHR LOAM WITHIN THE 7 MONTHS PROPOSED TIME SCALE, WHICH WAS PREVIOUSLY AGREED AT THE OCTOBER CDP BOARD, FOLLOWING THE REQUEST FROM PANEL MEMBERS FOR A FURTHER EXTENSION TO ENABLE MORE TIME FOR IMRS TO BE WRITTEN AND SUBMITTED.

CDP BOARD MEMBERS AGREED TO THE CHAIR OF THE CDP BOARD SIGNING OFF THE DHR LOAM OVERVIEW REPORT ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD IN ORDER TO MEET THE SUBMISSION DEADLINE OF APRIL 2020.

- 13.2 ACC advised that the CDP has a duty to carry out DHRs but there is no funding for DHR HANOVER or future DHRs. Nottingham CDP DHR funding was previously resourced from CDP funds. So far in 19/20, Community Protection has provided funds to pay for DHRs to the cost of just over £30,000.

CDP BOARD MEMBERS ACKNOWLEDGED THAT THERE ARE NO FUNDS IN THE CDP BUDGET AVAILABLE FOR FUTURE DHRS THIS FINANCIAL YEAR AND NOTED THE FRAGILE STATUS FOR FUTURE FUNDING.

This was discussed further at item 14.0 below.

Sign off for DHR Chapeau

- 13.3 Mark Dalton, Independent Domestic Homicide Review Chair and Report Author of DHR Chapeau attended to update Board members on this DHR and the learning from it. The learning and recommendations were discussed and one recommendation was divided into one for the Home Office and one for local implementation.

THE CDP BOARD AGREED THE RECOMMENDATIONS IN SECTION 10 AND 11 OF THE OVERVIEW REPORT, SUBJECT TO THE DIVISION OF ONE RECOMMENDATION INTO ONE FOR THE HOME OFFICE AND ONE FOR LOCAL IMPLEMENTATION AND SIGNED OFF THE OVERVIEW REPORT, WITH THE AMENDED RECOMMENDATIONS, FOR SUBMISSION TO THE HOME OFFICE.

14.0 Finance

- 14.1 Philip Gretton, Strategic Finance Business Partner presented the finance report and highlighted the 19/20 income and expenditure of the partnership, advising that there is forecast overspend of £25,000. There are also additional pressures and risks due to funding being required for a DHR, the upcoming end of both the VAWG transformation fund and the MHCLG Response to Complexity funding in March 2020 and the increased cost of prescription drugs used in service delivery. These risks are likely to impact on partnership targets. PGr explained that, as there is no recurring budget for these, funding needs to be found. PGr also summarised the OPCC funding for community safety for the partnership.
- 14.2 There was a discussion around how the funding for the DHR could be found. The duty to carry out DHRs falls on the CSP but there is no funding currently, so the Responsible Authorities will need to look at how the funding can be found from their budgets.

Action: CDP Support Team to organise a meeting of Responsible Authority agency leads to find the 10k needed for DHR Hanover. This meeting also to discuss how future DHRs will be funded.

Action: Chair of the CDP Board to write to the Responsible Authorities with a request for funding for DHRs.

THE BOARD NOTED THE BUDGET AND THE FORECAST OUTTURN 2019/20 AND THE FINANCIAL RISKS HIGHLIGHTED AND CONSIDERED THE RESULTANT IMPACT ON THE PARTNERSHIP'S PRIORITIES.

15.0 Any Other Business

- 15.1 The Chair advised that the Knife Angel is controversial and that he and the City Council Executive are not in favour of it coming to the city.

16.0 Date of next meeting

- 16.1 Monday 16th March 2020, 2-5pm, Byron House, Room 208.