



**NOTTINGHAM CRIME & DRUGS PARTNERSHIP**

**EXECUTIVE GROUP**

**MONDAY 30<sup>TH</sup> SEPTEMBER 2019 2:00PM - 4:30PM**

**ROOM 208, BYRON HOUSE**

<b>Chair:</b>	
<b>AE</b>	Andrew Errington, Director Community Protection, Nottingham City Council
<b>SC</b>	Steve Cooper, Assistant Chief Constable, Nottinghamshire Police
<b>Attendees:</b>	
<b>ACC</b>	Alex Castle-Clarke, Senior Community Safety Manager, Nottingham City Council
<b>MH</b>	Mat Healey, Area Commander, Nottinghamshire Police (Supt.)
<b>SN</b>	Shelley Nicholls, Head of Early Help Services, Nottingham City Council
<b>PH</b>	Paul Howard, Safer Neighbourhood Housing Manager, Nottingham City Homes
<b>AT</b>	Andromachi Tseloni, Professor of Criminology, Nottingham Trent University
<b>PG</b>	Phil Gilbert, Head of Strategy & Assurance, Office of the Police & Crime Commissioner
<b>AG</b>	Amy Goulden, Senior Community Cohesion Manager, Nottingham City Council
<b>DW</b>	Damien West, Area Manager, Nottinghamshire Fire & Rescue Service
<b>Guest Presenters (attending to present their agenda items):</b>	
<b>CO</b>	Christine Oliver, Head of Commissioning, Nottingham City Council
<b>IB</b>	Ian Bentley, Strategy and Commissioning Manager, Nottingham City Council
<b>Minutes:</b>	
<b>PB</b>	Philip Broxholme, Performance & Intelligence Manager, Nottingham Crime & Drugs Partnership
<b>Apologies:</b>	
<b>JD</b>	Jamie Dickinson, Off-Campus Student Affairs Manager, University of Nottingham
<b>EC</b>	Ellie Cosh, Student Community Liaison Officer, Nottingham Trent University
<b>DW</b>	Dave Walker, Head of Safer Housing and ASB, Nottingham City Council
<b>TS</b>	Tim Spink, Head of Community Safety, Nottingham City Council
<b>JB</b>	Jane Bethea, Public Health Consultant, Nottingham City Council
<b>JW</b>	Jon Webb, Performance Delivery Manager, DLNR CRC
<b>AB</b>	Andrea Brown, Associate Director of Joint Commissioning and Planning, Greater Nottingham CCGs
<b>TM</b>	Tamsin Marley, National Probation Service

## **1.0 Introductions, Apologies and Declarations of Interest**

- 1.1 SC opened the meeting, in his role as co-chair. Introductions were carried out and apologies were noted.

## **2.0 Previous Minutes of the CDP Executive Group meeting 1<sup>st</sup> July 2019**

- 2.1 The minutes of the Executive Group meeting held on 12<sup>th</sup> August 2019 were discussed. The minutes were accepted as accurate and were approved.

## **3.0 Matters Arising**

- 3.1 All actions were discharged or an update was provided.

## **4.0 Performance Report against CDP Partnership Plan**

- 4.1 PB presented this report and highlighted that victim-based crime had fallen, with the volume in August 2019 being 9.2% lower than the equivalent month in 2018. Improvements were noted across a range of offence types that are priorities for the partnership. This was referenced as being particularly noticeable in relation to acquisitive crime (residential burglary, personal robbery and vehicle theft) and knife crime. Night Time Economy violence was noted to have increased by 4.4% against the baseline (70 offences). This was driven by disproportionately high offence volumes in April and May 2019. Volumes across the summer returned to levels comparable to that seen in previous years.
- 4.2 The rolling 12-month volume of police recorded ASB incidents had increased slightly in August, however the overall trend was noted as stable with little change over the past year.
- 4.3 In August the rolling 12-month volume of repeat victims of hate crime decreased for the third consecutive month. However, the proportion of all victims that are repeats remains unchanged from the 2018/19 baseline.
- 4.4 The rate of successful completions from substance misuse treatment increased slightly against the 2018/19 baseline, however, the overall trend was stable.
- 4.5 There was a discussion around the continued reductions in the volume of offenders entering the criminal justice treatment system. It was noted that courts increasingly prefer licence controls to Drug Rehabilitation Requirements and that there is little direct pressure that that the partnership can bring, therefore engagement through the LCJB and local CPS was felt to be the best route. MH felt that reductions in arrest rates were also a factor and advised he would circulate messages to officers promoting the use of test on arrest. AE stated that work to educate and influence the courts was ongoing and that a venue was being sought to hold an event.
- 4.6 SC asked that work be undertaken to understand how the current system of drug and alcohol rehabilitation requirements is currently managed and its effectiveness.

EXECUTIVE GROUP MEMBERS NOTED THE CONTENTS OF THE REPORT.

## **5.0 Partnership Tasking Position Statement**

- 5.1 PB updated that action plans are in place for each ward, all partners are represented at NATs and three plans are reviewed at each Tasking meeting. The Arboretum group is being maintained and has a delivery plan in place.
- 5.2 It was again noted that bids for resources are not being made by partner agencies and that this is particularly true of those attending the meeting to give updates on their local plan. At the June tasking meeting MH reminded partners of the importance of using the opportunity to bid for resources through Partnership Tasking and this was reiterated again at the September meeting. It was highlighted that many of areas of the city experience relatively high rates of crime and ASB and therefore it was unlikely that these areas had access to the full range of resources required at all times.
- 5.3 The group discussed this issue at some length and it was agreed that Partnership Tasking should be a forum for problem solving as well as resource allocation. As a result of this discussion two actions were agreed:

**Action: The Partnership Tasking tactical menu to be updated and circulated to all members and partner agencies.**

**Action: The tasking meeting to be used to problem solve a particular issue and a report on the effectiveness of this to be brought back to a future meeting of the Executive Group.**

- 5.4 It was confirmed that the action in respect of risky premises had been progressed. Initially this will be managed through Partnership Tasking – should there be sufficient demand then a separate meeting can be established. This process will identify and discuss a range of complex risks about premises within Nottingham that need a multi-agency approach. For the purposes of this group a premise is defined as: *‘A dwelling or building, with a defined curtilage and postal address, together with its land and outbuilding’*. Any premises for discussion will be escalated to Partnership Tasking via the usual method.
- 5.5 PG noted that the Police & Crime Commissioner will hold a problem solving conference on 12<sup>th</sup> November and the work of the Arboretum Working Group will be showcased at this event. He agreed to discuss work on the other severity areas with MH separately.

EXECUTIVE GROUP MEMBERS NOTED THE CONTENTS OF THE REPORT.

## **6.0 SYVE Programme Board**

- 6.1 ACC summarised the report and noted that there would be a presentation from the Violence Reduction Unit at the October meeting of the CDP Board. Executive Group

members were invited to submit any questions that they would like to be asked at the meeting.

- 6.2 AE noted that the governance of the VRU appears complicated and that there is a confusion of governance and executive roles. SN agreed with this statement. PG agreed that the issue requires clarification and therefore he would discuss it with Kevin Dennis.

EXECUTIVE GROUP MEMBERS NOTED THE CONTENTS OF THE REPORT.

## **7.0 Hate Crime**

### *Hate Crime and Cohesion Sub-Group Review*

- 7.1 AG summarised the report and confirmed that the review of the Hate Crime & Cohesion Sub-Group was complete. It was proposed that the group be chaired by the NCC Portfolio Holder for Communities. A proposed terms of reference for the new group was presented. It was highlighted that this way of working would address those issues revealed by the process of review, namely: better alignment with partnership governance, more detailed performance reports from NHIP and regular meetings booked in with expected updates and reports from relevant projects.

EXECUTIVE GROUP MEMBERS NOTED THE CONTENTS OF THE REPORT AND AGREED THE RECOMMENDATIONS CONTAINED THEREIN.

### *Hate Crime Awareness Week*

- 7.2 AG summarised the paper and asked that organisations and senior leaders confirm their involvement. This was agreed by group members.

EXECUTIVE GROUP MEMBERS NOTED THE CONTENTS OF THE REPORT AND AGREED THE RECOMMENDATIONS CONTAINED THEREIN.

## **8.0 Substance Misuse**

- 8.1 IB summarised the paper and the issues discussed within. He noted that changes were minimal between meetings and that therefore it may be more appropriate to report by exception rather than to each Executive Group. It was agreed that this would be discussed at the upcoming CDP Board Development Day.

- 8.2 MH raised the issue of a younger cohort of new opiate presentations. IB advised that anecdotally this appeared to be an emerging issue but that at present data to support the hypothesis was not yet available.

EXECUTIVE GROUP MEMBERS NOTED THE CONTENTS OF THE REPORT.

## **9.0 Complex Persons Panel**

- 9.1 There was no representative present to discuss this item, therefore it was deferred to a future meeting.

## **10.0 Integrated Care Partnership**

CO summarised the report and asked for police and community safety input into a future workforce development day. SC and MH agreed to take this forward.

EXECUTIVE GROUP MEMBERS NOTED THE CONTENTS OF THE REPORT.

## **11.0 CDP Board Development Day**

- 11.1 ACC summarised the paper. Executive Group members were asked to contribute their views, so that items for discussion at the Board Development Session could be noted and fed in to the planning process for the session.

**Action: Executive Group members to submit any questions in respect of the Board Development Day to the CDP Support Team by Monday 14<sup>th</sup> October 2019.**

EXECUTIVE GROUP MEMBERS NOTED THE CONTENTS OF THE REPORT AND AGREED THE RECOMMENDATIONS CONTAINED THEREIN.

## **12.0 Audit of Information Sharing Agreements**

- 12.1 ACC summarised the paper and asked that partners undertake to complete the ISA audit within their organisation and feed back to the CDP Support Team on any additions or amendments to the list of agreements.

**Action: Partners to complete the ISA audit within their organisation and feed back to the CDP Support Team on any additions or amendments to the list of agreements.**

EXECUTIVE GROUP MEMBERS NOTED THE CONTENTS OF THE REPORT AND AGREED THE RECOMMENDATIONS CONTAINED THEREIN.

## **13.0 Any Other Business**

- 13.1 AT advised that the burglary pilot project previously undertaken by the CDP and NTU had been shortlisted for an ONS Excellence Award. She agreed that she would circulate the link so that group members could submit a vote if they so wished.

13.2 PG asked if the recent NCC ward boundary changes had impacted upon partner agencies. MH advised that this was being investigated but that no changes were required at present.

**14.0 Date of Next Meeting**

15.1 *11<sup>th</sup> November 2019, 2-4.30pm, Room 208 Byron House*