



NOTTINGHAM CRIME & DRUGS PARTNERSHIP

EXECUTIVE GROUP

MONDAY 11TH NOVEMBER 2019 2:00PM - 4:30PM

ROOM 208, BYRON HOUSE

Chair:

- SC** Steve Cooper, Assistant Chief Constable, Nottinghamshire Police
AE Andrew Errington, Director Community Protection, Nottingham City Council

Attendees:

- TS** Tim Spink, Head of Community Safety and Cohesion, Nottingham City Council
DW Dave Walker, Head of Safer Housing and ASB, Nottingham City Council
CC Clive Chambers, Head of Safeguarding & Quality Assurance, Children's Integrated Services, Nottingham City Council
DW Damien West, Area Manager, Nottinghamshire Fire & Rescue Service
PB Philip Broxholme, Performance & Intelligence Manager, Nottingham Crime & Drugs Partnership
JW Jon Webb, Performance Delivery Manager, DLNR CRC
NR Naomi Robinson, NHS Nottingham City CCG
IB Ian Bentley, Strategy and Commissioning Manager, Nottingham City Council
JN Jennifer Noctor, Nottingham Trent University
MH Mat Healey, Area Commander, Nottinghamshire Police (Supt.)
PG Phil Gilbert, Head of Strategy & Assurance, Office of the Police & Crime Commissioner
PH Paul Howard, Safer Neighbourhood Housing Manager, Nottingham City Homes
RM Raina Mason, Nottingham City Homes

Contributors (attending for specific agenda items):

- JL** Jane Lewis, Community Safety Strategy Manager, Nottingham Crime & Drugs Partnership
PH Inspector Paul Harris, Nottinghamshire Police
KP Kimberley Pike, Rough Sleeping Initiative Co-ordinator, Nottingham City Council

Minutes:

- LP** Laura Patterson, Policy Officer, Nottingham Crime & Drugs Partnership

Apologies:

- ACC** Alex Castle-Clarke, Senior Community Safety Manager, Nottingham City Council
AT Andromachi Tseloni, Professor of Criminology, Nottingham Trent University
HM Heidi May, Head of Neighbourhood Management, Nottingham City Council

1.0 Introductions, Apologies and Declarations of Interest

1.1 SC opened the meeting, in his role as co-chair. Introductions were carried out and apologies were noted.

2.0 Previous Minutes of the CDP Executive Group meeting 30th September 2019

2.1 The minutes of the Executive Group meeting held on 30th September 2019 were discussed. The minutes were accepted as accurate and were approved.

3.0 Matters Arising

3.1 All actions were discharged or are on the agenda for this meeting.

4.0 Overview Report from CDP Board

4.1 AE and SC presented the update report. Dave Wakelin, Director of the VRU attended the Board to provide a detailed presentation on the work being developed. There is a chance for partners to engage and input would be welcomed. If members wish to receive an electronic copy of the presentation, they should contact the CDP support team.

4.2 This was the first Board chaired by Councillor Mellen. The Board Development session, planned for November has been cancelled.

5.0 Performance Report against CDP Partnership Plan

5.1 PB presented this report and highlighted that performance against targets is broadly stable and that there have been reductions in almost all types of crime, though there has been an increase in burglary. Knife crime has reduced but the decline is mostly in robberies and other knife crime has only reduced slightly. ASB is broadly stable and a 25% reduction is a challenging target.

5.2 PB advised that hate crime is broadly stable and hate crime victims as repeats has broadly plateaued, though it is higher than it was. The Chair of the Board has asked for a deep dive on hate crime for the December meeting. The partnership is currently on track to meet the substance misuse targets, though the number of opiate users is still growing, which is against the national trend.

5.3 MH advised that burglaries tend to increase in October and updated on Operation Universal, which is taking place now and includes on-street additionality and work with student ambassadors at the universities.

EXECUTIVE GROUP MEMBERS NOTED THE CONTENTS OF THE REPORT.

6.0 Partnership Tasking Position Statement

- 6.1 PB updated the Executive Group that Partnership Tasking has met once since the September Executive Group meeting. The lack of bids for resources was highlighted at that Executive and this was much improved at the last Tasking meeting. The issue of catalytic converters was discussed and risky premises was on the agenda and many properties were discussed.

EXECUTIVE GROUP MEMBERS NOTED THE CONTENTS OF THE REPORT.

7.0 Neighbourhood Policing Priority setting

- 7.1 MH summarised the report and noted that the College of Policing Neighbourhood Policing Guidance recommends Nottinghamshire Police ensure they have a mechanism in place to identify priorities in local communities and also that priorities need to be set consistently across the force. The quarterly OPCC survey will feed into this. Three priorities will be set quarterly and reporting will be monthly. MH advised that it is anticipated that the revised local Police priority setting process will enhance and strengthen the Respect Action Plans.
- 7.2 MH advised that Neighbourhood Inspectors will need to arrive at priorities for their area. The boundaries are not quite aligned with city wards since the recent boundary changes. AE advised that the City Council has a Senior Community Protection Officer for each area and that any resource implication needs to be understood.
- 7.3 SC advised that the intention is to embed this work into the existing meeting framework, so that extra work is not generated. SC advised that MH will work through checking that the plan matches city structures as well as county and standardising the language.

EXECUTIVE GROUP MEMBERS NOTED THE CONTENTS OF THE REPORT.

8.0 Problem Solving Training

- 8.1 MH updated the Executive Group on the availability of OPCC-funded problem solving training to be delivered to police and partners. There are 28 spaces for city partners to receive 2 days training alongside force-wide neighbourhood police colleagues. The first training course is January 2020, the last course is February 2020. The December course has been cancelled because of the General Election. The training is based around the SARA model of problem-solving and is based around the model rather than specific cases.
- 8.2 MH also requested that partners consider whether they have any suitable venues which could be provided free of charge for the joint training. These need to be big enough to seat 40 people. CC advised that he would look into whether any of the youth centres could be offered for this purpose.
- 8.3 DW asked if there was an option that the training could be around problem solving an ASB case study and AE stated that in order to release staff for two days, the training

needed to be real world and case led, rather than theoretical and that there would need to be a tangible benefit.

- 8.4 SC advised that he would feed in this information and consideration would be given to holding a city-specific problem solving day, which would also build networks.

Action: MH to speak to Chief Inspector Kathryn Craner to look at setting up one of the problem solving sessions as an ASB case study. MH also to send the dates, course details and methodology to Executive Group members. All agencies to confirm to MH if interested in the training and to advise if they can offer a venue.

EXECUTIVE GROUP MEMBERS NOTED THE CONTENTS OF THE REPORT.

9.0 IOM Non-Statutory Cohort – knife crime

- 9.1 JW summarised the paper and the issues discussed within it. It has been difficult to attract a meaningful sized cohort of those aged 18-24 who pose a risk of weapon-enabled crime to manage within the IOM. Two probation officers have been employed and there is a capacity of 40/50 nominals. The programme is funded by the OPCC. JW advised that Kevin Dennis had confirmed that the age cap could be removed but the numbers are still very low, as it is difficult to identify the right people as they need to identify those who pose a risk. Communications and awareness raising have increased and statutory cases which are coming to an end are being considered.

- 9.2 CC identified a lack of clarity and connectivity with the Youth Justice Services and the leaving care cohort. It was agreed that JW and CC would meet to strengthen the link between the IOM and the YJS.

- 9.3 TS confirmed that the decision-making around this IOM sits within the CDP structure, so this group has decision-making ability. DW advised that more work needs to take place with the community and with those who are most impacted ,to help with identifying those who should be managed by this IOM. DW also advised that of those that do not meet the criteria, focus should be on those under 25s who are most at risk.

DECISION

The CDP Executive Group agreed that the cohort criteria should be widened as necessary to enable the cohort capacity to be reached.

Action: JW to bring a further progress report on the IOM Non Statutory Cohort to the next Executive Group meeting.

EXECUTIVE GROUP MEMBERS NOTED THE CONTENTS OF THE REPORT.

10.0 IOM IDVA

- 10.1 JL updated on this multiagency pilot, which began in winter 2017, to manage high-risk serial perpetrators of domestic violence and abuse, referred by Police Public

Protection. The pilot has been successful and has been demonstrated to work, so additional funding is being sought to extend it.

- 10.2 PH advised that the target had been a cohort of 40 and this had been met, with several cohorts going through the programme. The pilot has been very successful when measured by decrease of PPIT risk and in frequency of severity of offences. When offenders are removed from this IOM, they move to the standard IOM for three months.
- 10.3 JL advised that the PCC has provided funding to extend the IOM until June 2020 but funding is required after that, otherwise the service will start to wind down and taper referrals. The cost is 80k in total and 40k for the city for two IDVAs (one city, one county). JL is meeting the VRU in December to discuss the IOM and CC agreed to discuss this service with Children's Services colleagues in the City and County. **The Executive Group acknowledged the contribution of this IOM and was supportive of this key service continuing.**

Action: JL to provide an update to Executive Group on any decision made on funding for this IOM, via the CDP support team.

EXECUTIVE GROUP MEMBERS NOTED THE CONTENTS OF THE REPORT AND THE RECOMMENDATIONS MADE THEREIN.

11.0 Substance Misuse

- 11.1 IB summarised the report, which provided an update on a number of key issues which have arisen because of budget cuts. IB gave an update on drug treatment waiting times, which remain stable. Waiting times within the Criminal Justice system are dictated by national standards. Unplanned exits have increased but the drop-out rate is fairly static.
- 11.2 IB also updated on a number of issues regarding the young people's treatment service delivered by CGL. There has been a large reduction in the number of young people entering the young people's treatment service. IB advised that an action plan was put in place and there has been an increase in the number of referrals and the number entering treatment this year. There was a discussion around whether some of these young people could be managed in the non-statutory IOM.
- 11.3 AE advised that funding was put in last year to stop the service collapsing and that it is important to get into this preventative agenda.

Action: LP to arrange for AE and Helen Blackman to meet with Katy Ball/Christine Oliver to discuss how CGL can be supported.

- 11.4 IB advised that DRRs have been dropping since 2015/16 and also that one of the CRC's Offender Managers is located in the Wellbeing Hub. There has been a decline in the number of community orders with attached DRR and/or ATR. There was a discussion around the need to raise awareness of community orders and also about the effectiveness of short sentences on drug users.

Action: IB and JW to provide case studies around the impact of short sentences on drug users.

- 11.5 An update on the current funding situation for drug and alcohol treatment services, as set out in the report, was provided by IB, highlighting the costs of the current service, Buprenorphine and the needle exchange and the possible impact of Brexit. There is likely to be a slight overspend this year.
- 11.6 There was a discussion around how any uplift in the Public Health Grant would be allocated, as the Executive Group would want to have an input into any decision making.

Action: IB to find out how the Executive Group can have an input into the decisions around expenditure from the Public Health Grant and feed back to the Executive Group.

EXECUTIVE GROUP MEMBERS NOTED THE CONTENTS OF THE REPORT.

12.0 Complex Persons Panel

- 12.1 DW summarised the report. The number of referrals is low to the CPP, with only 24 referrals in 6 months. Complex people may be being managed elsewhere across the partnership in other groups. Some attendance at the panel is patchy and there is a lack of administrative support for the panel. DW advised he is going to write and advise that attendance is essential. An awareness campaign will be run to raise the profile of the CPP and the CPP Steering Group and steering group members have been asked to identify champions.
- 12.2 AE advised that it is important to commit collectively to the CPP and to train people to make referrals. There were 11 deaths on the street last year and they were people with complex needs and ex-offenders and future deaths need to be avoided. CC advised that connectivity was needed with the leaving care service and DW and CC agreed to meet to discuss this.
- 12.3 KP advised that many meetings take place around the same homeless people. Other agencies are involved but unable to house some people with mental health issues and it is difficult to get mental health services to engage. NR advised that she has been doing some work around the homelessness agenda from a health perspective. Work is ongoing to link those discharged from hospital with no fixed abode with the Street Outreach Team.
- 12.4 SC advised that the Executive Group all support the CPP and it needs to be championed from the bottom up. DW is going to look at the overlap with other groups and requested that other groups not be disbanded yet. AE advised that it is crucial that primary care assessments of physical and mental health are carried out and that untreatable mental health does not fall to the Police as an assessment issue.

EXECUTIVE GROUP MEMBERS NOTED THE CONTENTS OF THE REPORT AND THE PROGRESS THAT HAS BEEN MADE.

EXECUTIVE GROUP MEMBERS APPROVED THE DRAFT REVISED TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR THE COMPLEX PERSONS PANEL AND THE CPP STEERING GROUP.

13.0 Safer Streets Fund

- 13.1 PG provided an update on the £25 million Safer Streets Fund, which was announced on 30th September 2019. PCCs in England and Wales will be able to bid for funding for measures aimed at preventing acquisitive crime in disproportionately affected areas. The consultation events that were planned for November were cancelled because the General Election was announced. It is not known for certain if the fund will go ahead after the election. The fund was due to open for bids in December with a January deadline and funding for the area could be around 500/600k. PB has identified three hotspot areas in the city, which are Arboretum, Hyson Green and Radford. PG advised that once the bids are assessed there may only be six months to carry out the work.
- 13.2 PG advised that the PCC wants to undertake some target hardening in the city but this must be match funded. Discussions took place around potential sources of match funding.

EXECUTIVE GROUP MEMBERS NOTED THE CONTENTS OF THE REPORT.

14.0 NACRO as the new provider of the Ministry of Justice Bail and Support Service (BASS)

- 14.1 PB updated that the council has been notified by NACRO that they have been awarded the contract for BASS services by the MOJ. They currently have two properties in the city and are looking at commissioning an additional one next year. NACRO have identified the local authority, the Police and the National Probation Service as key stakeholders to consult around the scheme and have requested a single point of contact (SPOC) from each. Views from stakeholders will be sought on the suitability of identified locations for properties. A further report on this will be brought to a future meeting of the Executive Group.

15.0 Findings from Information Sharing Agreement Audit

- 15.1 LP summarised the paper and advised that partners had been asked to undertake to complete the ISA audit within their organisation and feed back to the CDP Support Team on any additions or amendments to the list of agreements. The audit has now been completed. No new data sharing agreements have been finalised and no concerns were identified by partners as part of the audit process. Updates were provided on some of the active data sharing agreements and these agreements are set out in the report.

EXECUTIVE GROUP MEMBERS AGREED THAT THE FINDINGS FROM THE AUDIT ARE SUFFICIENT AND THAT THERE ARE EFFECTIVE INFORMATION SHARING AGREEMENTS IN PLACE ACROSS THE PARTNERSHIP.

16.0 Any Other Business

16.1 Strategic Assessment

PB summarised the report and advised that the partnership Strategic Assessment Risk Analysis event held on 7th November was well attended. Partners were thanked for their attendance and contribution. PB advised that feedback from the meeting will allow a provisional risk assessment matrix to be completed and this will be incorporated into the consultation draft of the assessment due to be circulated on 18th November. The draft priorities are broadly the same as last year.

16.2 Establishing a working group to help to identify the environmental issues within the Hyson Green

TS advised that following on from the Strategic Assessment Risk Analysis event, where there was regular mention of Hyson Green and Arboretum ward, AE has asked for a meeting of NCC colleagues to establish how the area could be improved by Council services following the broken windows theory. An update report will be brought to a future meeting of the Executive Group.

17.0 Date of Next Meeting

17.1 *10th February 2020, 2-4.30pm, Room 208 Byron House*